On centralizers of elements in the Lie algebra $W_2(K)$

Ie. O. Makedonskiy, A. P. Petravchuk, V. V. Stepukh

Taras Shevchenko National University of Kyiv

ABSTRACT. Let K be a field of characteristic zero and K[x,y] the polynomial ring. Denote by $W_2(K)$ the Lie algebra of all K-derivations of K[x,y]. Centralizers of elements and maximal abelian subalgebras of the algebra $W_2(K)$ are studied. The structure of a centralizer $C_{W_2}(D)$ depends on the kerD in the field of rational functions K(x,y) (the derivation D can be naturally extended on K(x,y)). In particular, if kerD in K(x,y) coincides with K or does not contain nonconstant polynomials, then $C_{W_2}(D)$ is of finite dimension over K.

Introduction

Let \mathbb{K} be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $\mathbb{K}[x,y]$ be the polynomial algebra over \mathbb{K} . The Lie algebra $W_2 = W_2(\mathbb{K})$ of all \mathbb{K} -derivations of $\mathbb{K}[x,y]$ was studied by many authors (its finite dimensional subalgebras were described by S. Lie in case $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ [2], see also [3], [4]). The structure of subalgebras of the Lie algebra $W_2(\mathbb{K})$ is of great interest because its elements can be considered as vector fields on \mathbb{K}^2 with polynomial coefficients. In this paper, we give a characterization of centralizers of elements in the Lie algebra $W_2(\mathbb{K})$. Given a derivation $D \in W_2(\mathbb{K})$ one can consider its extension on the field $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ of rational functions by the rule $D(\frac{f}{g}) = \frac{D(f)g - fD(g)}{g^2}$ and the subfield of constants ker D. We prove (Theorem 1) that $C_{W_2}(D)$ is one-dimensional or two-dimensional (over \mathbb{K}) in case ker $D = \mathbb{K}$. If ker D contains a nonconstant polynomial then $C_{W_2}(D)$ is infinite dimensional, its structure is described. The last case when ker D contains a rational function, but not a nonconstant polynomial yields against finite dimensional centralizers but of more complicated structure. Using these results we give a characterization of maximal abelian subalgebras of $W_2(\mathbb{K})$ (Theorem 2).

We use standard notations, the ground field \mathbb{K} is algebraically closed of characteristic zero. A nonzero derivation D will be called reduced if from any equality $D = hD_1, h \in \mathbb{K}[x,y], D_1 \in W_2(\mathbb{K})$ it follows that $h \in \mathbb{K}^*$. It is obvious that every derivation $D \in W_2(\mathbb{K})$ can be written in the form $D = hD_0$ where $h \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$ and D_0 is reduced. The field $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ will be denoted by R.

E-mail: apetrav@gmail.com

[©] Ie. O. Makedonskiy, A. P. Petravchuk, V. V. Stepukh, 2013

Every polynomial $p \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$ defines a derivation $D_p \in W_2(\mathbb{K})$ (it is called a Jacobi derivation) by the rule: $D_p(h) = det J(p,h)$, where J(p,h) is the Jacobi matrix of the polynomials p,h If p is irreducible we denote by δ_p a reduced derivation corresponding to D_p . Analogously every irreducible fraction $p/q \in \mathbb{K}(x,y)$ defines a Jacobi derivation $D_{p,q} = qD_p - pD_q \in W_2(\mathbb{K})$, a reduced derivation corresponding to the last one will be denoted by $\delta_{p,q}$.

1. On centralizers of elements in $W_2(\mathbb{K})$

Let D be a nonzero derivation of $\mathbb{K}[x,y]$. It is obvious that D satisfies one of the following conditions: (1) ker D in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ coincides with \mathbb{K} . (2) ker D in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ contains a nonconstant polynomial. (3) ker D in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ is different from \mathbb{K} and does not contain any nonconstant polynomial. We consider these cases in Lemmas 2, 4 and 5.

The next statement can be directly proved.

Lemma 1. Let $\varphi(t) = u(t)/v(t) \in \mathbb{K}(t)$ be an irreducible fraction, $\deg u = m, \deg v = n$. If the polynomials $p, q \in \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ are algebraically independent over \mathbb{K} and irreducible, then the rational function $\varphi(p/q)$ from $\mathbb{K}(x, y)$ can be written in the form $\varphi(p/q) = \overline{u}/\overline{v}$, where $\overline{u}, \overline{v}$ are homogeneous polynomials in p, q of the same degree $\max(m, n)$. These polynomials can be chosen to be coprime.

Lemma 2. Let $D \in W_2(\mathbb{K})$ be a derivation such that $\ker D$ in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ is equal to \mathbb{K} . Then the centralizer $C = C_{W_2}(D)$ coincides with $\mathbb{K}D$, or $C = \mathbb{K}D + \mathbb{K}D_1$ for a derivation D_1 such that D, D_1 are linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$.

PROOF. Let first $C = C_{W_2}(D)$ have rank 1 over $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} C = 1$, then $C = \mathbb{K}D$ and all is done. Let $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} C > 1$ and D_1 be such an element of C that D, D_1 are linearly independent over \mathbb{K} . Since $\mathrm{rk}_R C = 1$, there exist polynomials $f, g \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$ such that $fD+gD_1=0$ (at least one of the polynomials is nonconstant). Then there exist a reduced derivation D_0 and polynomials $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$ such that $D=\alpha D_0, D_1=\beta D_0$. Since D, D_1 are linearly independent over \mathbb{K} , at least one of the polynomials α, β is nonconstant, and α/β is a nonconstant rational function. Further,

$$0 = [D, D_1] = [\alpha D_0, \beta D_0] = (\alpha D_0(\beta) - D_0(\alpha)\beta)D$$

and therefore $\alpha D_0(\beta) - D_0(\alpha)\beta = 0$. But then $D(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}) = 0$ and since $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \notin \mathbb{K}$ we get a contradiction to our assumption on D. Therefore $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} C = 1$ and $C = C_{W_2}(D) = \mathbb{K}D$.

Let now C be of rank 2 over $R = \mathbb{K}(x, y)$. Then there exists an element $D_1 \in C$ such that D_1, D are linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}(x, y)$. Let D_2 be an arbitrary element of C, write $D_2 = uD + vD_1$ for some $u, v \in \mathbb{K}(x, y)$. We have

$$[D, D_2] = D(u)D + D(v)D_1 = 0,$$

and taking into account the linear independence of D, D_1 over $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ we get D(u)=0 and D(v)=0. Since $\ker D=\mathbb{K}$ (in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$) we see that $u,v\in\mathbb{K}$ and $D_2\in\mathbb{K}D+\mathbb{K}D_1$ i.e $C\subseteq\mathbb{K}D+\mathbb{K}D_1$. As it holds obviously $\mathbb{K}D+\mathbb{K}D_1\subseteq C$ we obtain $C=\mathbb{K}D+\mathbb{K}D_1$. \square

Lemma 3. ([1]) Let $D \in W_2(\mathbb{K})$ be a derivation such that $\ker D$ in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ contains a nonconstant polynomial. Then $D = h\delta_p$, where $h \in \mathbb{K}[x,y], \delta_p$ is a reduced derivation corresponding to the Jacobi derivation D_p for an irreducible polynomial $p = p(x,y) \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$.

Definition 1. (see also [1]). (1) Let $p = p(x, y) \in \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ be an irreducible polynomial. A polynomial f = f(x, y) will be called p-free if f is not divisible by any polynomial in p of positive degree. Every polynomial $g \in \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ can be written in the form $g = g_0g_1$, where g_0 is a p-free polynomial and $g_1 = g_1(p)$ is a polynomial of p (may be $g_1 = const$). The degree of the polynomial $g_1(p)$ in p will be called the p-degree of g and denoted by $\deg_p g$.

(2) Let p and q be algebraically independent irreducible polynomials from the ring $\mathbb{K}[x,y]$. A polynomial $f(x,y) \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$ will be called p-q-free if f is not divisible by any homogeneous polynomial in p and q of positive degree. As earlier one can write every polynomial $g \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$ in the form g_0g_1 , where g_0 is a p-q-free polynomial and $g_1 = h(p,q)$ for some homogeneous polynomial $h(s,t) \in \mathbb{K}[s,t]$. The (total) degree of h in s,t will be called the p-q-degree of g and denoted by $\deg_{p-q}g$.

Lemma 4. Let $D \in W_2(\mathbb{K})$ be a derivation such that $\ker D$ in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ contains a nonconstant polynomial. Then $D = hf(p)\delta_p$ where p is an irreducible polynomial from $\ker D$, h is a p-free polynomial and δ_p is a reduced derivation corresponding to the Jacobi derivation D_p . The centralizer $C_{W_2}(D)$ is one of the following algebras:

- (1) $C_{W_2}(D) = \mathbb{K}[p]h\delta_p$
- (2) $C_{W_2}(D) = \mathbb{K}[p]h\delta_p + \mathbb{K}[p]D_1$

for some $D_1 \in C_{W_2}(D)$ such that D_1, D are linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}(x, y)$.

PROOF. Using Lemma 3 we can write the derivation D in the form $D = g\delta_p$, where δ_p is a reduced derivation corresponding to D_p . We write the polynomial g = g(x, y) in the form g = hf(p), where f(p) is a polynomial of p (may be f(p) = const) and h = h(x, y) is a p-free polynomial. Let first $\operatorname{rk}_R C = 1$. Then any derivation $D_1 \in C_{W_2}(D)$ is of the form $D_1 = g_1 \delta_p$, for some polynomial $g_1 \in \mathbb{K}[x, y]$. It follows from the equality

$$0 = [D, D_1] = [hf(p)\delta_p, g_1\delta_p] = (f(p)\delta_p(h)g - hf(p)\delta_p(g_1))\delta_p$$

that $\delta_p(h)g_1 - h\delta_p(g_1) = 0$ and therefore $\delta_p(g_1/h) = 0$. But then $g_1/h = \varphi(p)$ for some rational function $\varphi(t) \in \mathbb{K}(t)$ (since $\ker \delta_p = \mathbb{K}(p)$ in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$). Thus $g_1/h = u(p)/v(p)$ for some polynomials $u(t), v(t) \in \mathbb{K}[t]$ (these polynomials can be chosen coprime). From

the last equality we get $g_1v(p) = hu(p)$. If the polynomial v(t) is nonconstant, then taking into account the condition $\mathbb{K} = \overline{\mathbb{K}}$ we see that every divisor of v(p) of the form $p - \lambda_i, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{K}$ divides the polynomial h. But the latter is impossible because of choice of h and therefore v(p) = const. Denote $u_1(t) = u(t)/v \in \mathbb{K}[t]$. We get $g_1 = hu_1(p)$ and $D_1 = g_1\delta_p = hu_1(p)\delta_p \in \mathbb{K}[p]h\delta_p$. Since the element D_1 was chosen arbitrarily we obtain $C_{W_2}(D) \subseteq \mathbb{K}[p]h\delta_p$. It is obvious that $\mathbb{K}[p]h\delta_p \subseteq C_{W_2}(D)$ and therefore $C_{W_2}(D) = \mathbb{K}[p]h\delta_p$. The centralizer $C_{W_2}(D)$ is of type 1.

Let now $C_{W_2}(D)$ be of rank 2 over $R = \mathbb{K}(x,y)$. Note that for any derivation $D_1 \in C_{W_2}(D)$ satisfying the condition $DD_1 = D_1D$ it follows that $D_1(p) \in \ker D$. Since $\ker D = \mathbb{K}[p]$ (in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$), then $D_1(p) = f_1(p)$ for some polynomial $f_1(t) \in \mathbb{K}[t]$. Take an element $D_1 \in C_{W_2}(D)$ such that the polynomial $f_1(t)$ has the possible lowest degree. Take now any derivation $D_2 \in C_{W_2}(D)$ and let $D_2(p) = f_2(p)$. Let us show that the polynomial $f_2(t)$ is divisible by $f_1(t)$. Really, let this is not the case and for some $f_2(t) \in \mathbb{K}[t]$ the greatest common divisor $gcd(f_2, f_1) = g(t)$ be of degree less than $min(\deg f_1, \deg f_2)$. Then there exist polynomials $a(t), b(t) \in \mathbb{K}[t]$ such that $g(t) = a(t)f_1(t) + b(t)f_2(t)$. The derivation $D_3 = a(p)D_1 + b(p)D_2$ satisfies obviously the condition $[D, D_3] = 0$ and $D_3(p) = g(p)$. The latter contradicts to the choice of the derivation D_1 . Therefore $f_2(t)$ is divisible by $f_1(t)$. Set $\mu(t) = f_2(t)/f_1(t)$. It is easy to see that

$$(D_2 - \mu(p)D_1)(p) = D_2(p) - \mu(p)D_1(p) = f_2(p) - \mu(p)f_1(p) = 0.$$

The latter means in view of Lemma 1 that $D_2 - \mu(p)D_1 = g\delta_p$ for some polynomial $g \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$. Since $D_2 - \mu(p)D_1 \in C$, it follows from above proven that g = u(p)h for some $u(p) \in \mathbb{K}[p]$. Thus, $D_2 \in \mathbb{K}[p]D_1 + \mathbb{K}[p]h\delta_p$. The inverse inclusion holds obviously and therefore $C_{W_2}(D) = \mathbb{K}[p]D_1 + \mathbb{K}[p]h\delta_p$.

Lemma 5. Let $D \in W_2(\mathbb{K})$ be such a derivation that $\ker D \neq \mathbb{K}$ in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ and $\ker D$ does not contain any nonconstant polynomial. Then $D = hf(p,q)\delta_{p,q}$, where p,q are algebraically independent over \mathbb{K} irreducible polynomials such that $\ker D = \mathbb{K}(\frac{p}{q})$, f(p,q) is a homogeneous polynomial in p and q of degree $m \geq 0$, the polynomial h is p-q-free and $\delta_{p,q}$ is a reduced derivation corresponding to $qD_p - pD_q$. The centralizer $C = C_{W_2}(D)$ is one of the following algebras:

- (1) $C = \mathbb{K}[p,q]_m h \delta_{p,q}$, where $\mathbb{K}[p,q]_m$ is the space of all homogeneous polynomials in p,q of degree $m = \deg_{p-q} f$, in particular $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} C = m+1$.
- (2) $C = (\mathbb{K}(\frac{p}{q})D + \mathbb{K}(\frac{p}{q})D_1) \cap W_2(\mathbb{K})$, where $D_1 \in C$, such that D, D_1 are linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$. The subalgebra C is finite dimensional over \mathbb{K} , and if $D = P\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $D_1 = P_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q_1\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $\Delta = PQ_1 P_1Q$, and $\deg_{p-q}\Delta = s$, then $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} C \leq m + s + 2$, where $m = \deg_{p-q}f$.

PROOF. Since $\ker D \neq \mathbb{K}$, the subfield $\ker D$ contains a nonconstant rational function. Note that $\ker D$ in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ is algebraically closed in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$, so $\operatorname{tr.deg}_{\mathbb{K}} \ker D = 1$. The Gordan's Theorem (see [6], Th. 3) yields now that $\ker D = \mathbb{K}(\frac{p}{q})$ for a nonconstant rational function p/q. The polynomials p and q can be chosen to be irreducible (see, for example [5]). It can be easily shown that $D = hf(p,q)\delta_{p,q}$ where $\delta_{p,q}$ is a reduced derivation correspond to $qD_p - pD_q$, h is p-q-free and f(p,q) is a homogeneous polynomial in p,q. Set $m = \deg_{p-q} f$.

Let first $C = C_{W_2}(D)$ be of rank 1 over $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$. Take any element $D_1 \in C$. Then $D_1 = d_1 \delta_{p,q}$ for some polynomial d_1 , the polynomial d_1 can be written in the form $d_1 = f_1 h_1$, where $f_1 = f_1(p,q)$ is a homogeneous polynomial in p,q and h_1 is p-q-free. The derivations D and D_1 satisfy the condition

$$[D, D_1] = [hf(p, q)\delta_{p,q}, h_1f_1\delta_{p,q}] = 0.$$

But then $\delta_{p,q}(hf)h_1f_1 - hf\delta_{p,q}(h_1f_1) = 0$ and therefore $\delta_{p,q}(hf/h_1f_1) = 0$. The latter means that $\frac{hf}{h_1f_1} \in \ker D = \mathbb{K}(\frac{p}{q})$ and $hf/h_1f_1 = u(p,q)/v(p,q)$ for some homogeneous (in p,q) polynomials u,v of the same degree (see Lemma 1). We can choose these polynomials to be coprime as polynomials in p,q. But then they are coprime as polynomials in x,y because $p - \lambda_i q$ and $p - \lambda_j q$ are coprime provided that $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$.

It follows from these considerations that $hfv = h_1f_1u$ with homogeneous polynomials fv, f_1u in p, q and p-q-free polynomials h, h_1 . Since the decomposition into product of a p-q-free polynomial and a homogeneous in p, q polynomial is unique up to nonzero scalar multiple it follows that $h_1 = hc$ for some $c \in \mathbb{K}^*$. As $\deg_{p-q}u = \deg_{p-q}v$ by the choice of these polynomials we see that $\deg_{p-q}f_1 = \deg_{p-q}f = m$. Then $D_1 = f_1h_1\delta_{p,q} \in \mathbb{K}[p,q]_mh\delta_{p,q}$ where $\mathbb{K}[p,q]_m$ is the vector space of all homogeneous polynomials in p,q of degree m in p,q. One can easily show that $\mathbb{K}[p,q]_mh\delta_{p,q} \subseteq C$ and therefore $C = \mathbb{K}[p,q]_mh\delta_{p,q}$. The centralizer is of type 1 of Lemma.

Let now the rank $C_{W_2}(D)$ be equal to 2 (over $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$). Write $D = P \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $D_1 = P_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ with $P, Q, P_1, Q_1 \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$ and let $\Delta_1 = PQ_1 - P_1Q$. Further, take an another element $D_2 \in C$ such that D, D_2 are also linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$. Then $D_2 = \alpha D + \beta D_1$ for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}(x,y)$. It follows from the relations $0 = [D, D_2] = [D, \alpha D + \beta D_1] = D(\alpha)D + D(\beta)D_1$ that $D(\alpha) = D(\beta) = 0$ (because of linearly independence of D, D_1). But then $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}(\frac{p}{q})$ (recall that $\ker D$ in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ coincides with $\mathbb{K}(\frac{p}{q})$) and therefore $C \subseteq (\mathbb{K}(\frac{p}{q})D + K(\frac{p}{q})D_1) \cap W_2(\mathbb{K})$. The inverse to this inclusion also holds, so we have $C = (\mathbb{K}(\frac{p}{q})D + K(\frac{p}{q})D_1) \cap W_2(\mathbb{K})$.

Write now the derivation D_2 in the form $D_2 = P_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q_2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$ with $P_2, Q_2 \in \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ and denote $\Delta_2 = PQ_2 - P_2Q$. Since $P_2 = \alpha P + \beta P_1$ and $Q_2 = \alpha Q + \beta Q_1$, we have $\Delta_2 = \beta \Delta_1$. The rational function $\beta \in \mathbb{K}(p/q)$ can be written in the form $\beta = u/v$, where u, v are homogeneous polynomials in p, q and $\deg_{p-q} u = \deg_{p-q} v$ (see Lemma 1). Then we

obtain from the equality $\Delta_2 = \beta \Delta_1$ and condition $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \in \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ that the polynomials Δ_1 and Δ_2 have the same p-q-degree. Besides, these polynomials have the same p-q-free part up to nonzero scalar multipliers. Let $\deg_{p-q}\Delta_1 = s$. Note that the vector space $\mathbb{K}[p,q]_s$ of all homogeneous polynomials of degree s in p,q has dimension s+1 over \mathbb{K} . The centralizer $C = C_{W_2}(D)$ has a K-subspace C_0 consisting of all derivations linearly dependent with D. By the above proven the subspace C_0 is of dimension m over K where m is the p-q-degree of the polynomial f from the decomposition $D = hf\delta_{p,q}$. Take arbitrary derivations T_1, \ldots, T_{s+2} from C, write down $T_i = P_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q_i \frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $i = 1, \ldots, s+2$, and denote $\Delta_i = PQ_i - P_iQ$. Since the determinantes Δ_i have the same p-q-free part (up to nonzero scalar multipliers) and $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} \mathbb{K}[p,q]_s = s+1$, there exist elements $c_1,\ldots,c_{s+2} \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $c_1\Delta_1 + \cdots + c_{s+2}\Delta_{s+2} = 0$ and at least one of c_i is nonzero. Consider the derivation $T = c_1 T_1 + \dots + c_{s+2} T_{s+2} = U \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + V \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, U, V \in \mathbb{K}[x, y]$ from the centralizer C. It is obvious that PV - QU = 0 and this equality implies that D and T are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$, i.e. $T\in C_0$. Therefore dim $C/C_0\leq s+1$. But then the dimension of C over \mathbb{K} does not exceed (m + 1) + (s + 1) = m + s + 2.

Theorem 1. Let D be an arbitrary nonzero element of $W_2(\mathbb{K})$. Then the centralizer $C = C_{W_2}(D)$ is a subalgebra of one of the following types:

- (1) $C = \mathbb{K}D$, if ker D in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ coincides with \mathbb{K} .
- (2) $C = \mathbb{K}D + \mathbb{K}D_1$, if ker D in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ coincides with \mathbb{K} and there exists D_1 such that $[D,D_1]=0$ and D,D_1 are linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$.
- (3) $C = \mathbb{K}[p]h\delta_p$, if ker D in $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ contains a nonconstant polynomial, this polynomial p can be chosen irreducible, $D = hf\delta_p$, where f is a polynomial in p, h is p-free and δ_p is a reduced derivation corresponding to D_p .
- (4) $C = \mathbb{K}[p,q]_m h \delta_{p,q}$, if ker D contains a nonconstant rational function p/q and does not contain any nonconstant polynomial, ker $D = \mathbb{K}(\frac{p}{q})$, $D = h f \delta_{p,q}$, where f is a homogeneous polynomial in , q of degree m, h is a p-q-free polynomial and $\delta_{p,q}$ is a reduced derivation corresponding to $qD_p pD_q$.
- (5) $C = (\mathbb{K}(\frac{p}{q})D + \mathbb{K}(\frac{p}{q})D_1) \cap W_2(\mathbb{K})$, where D satisfies all the conditions of the previous part of Theorem, D and D_1 are linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ $[D_1, D] = 0$. If $D = P\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, $D_1 = P_1\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + Q_1\frac{\partial}{\partial y}$, and $\Delta = PQ_1 P_1Q$ then $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} C \leq m + s + 2$, where m as in part 4 of Theorem and $s = \deg_{p-q}\Delta$.

PROOF. See Lemmas 2, 4 and 5.

Corollary 1. If $D \in W_2(\mathbb{K})$ and $C_{W_2}(D)$ is infinite dimensional over \mathbb{K} , then $\ker D$ contains a nonconstant polynomial which can be chosen to be irreducible.

Theorem 2. Let L be a maximal abelian subalgebra of the Lie algebra $W_2(\mathbb{K})$. Then L is one of the following algebras:

- (1) One-dimensional of the form $\mathbb{K}D$ where $D \in W_2(\mathbb{K} \text{ and } \ker D \text{ in } \mathbb{K}(x,y) \text{ coincides } with \mathbb{K}.$
- (2) Two-dimensional of the form $\mathbb{K}D + \mathbb{K}D_1$ where D, D_1 are linearly independent over $\mathbb{K}(x, y)$.
- (3) Finite dimensional of the form $\mathbb{K}[p,q]_m h \delta_{p,q}$, where $h \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$, $\mathbb{K}[p,q]_m$ is the vector space of all homogeneous in p,q polynomials of degree m (see Theorem 1).
- (4) Infinite dimensional of the form $\mathbb{K}[p]h\delta_p$, where $h \in \mathbb{K}[x,y]$, $\mathbb{K}[p]$ is the vector space of polynomials in p (see Theorem 1).

PROOF. Let L be a maximal abelian subalgebra of $W_2(\mathbb{K})$. If $\mathrm{rk}_{\mathbb{K}(x,y)}L=2$ then L contains elements D_1, D_2 which form a basis of $W_2(\mathbb{K})$ over $\mathbb{K}(x,y)$ (as a vector space). But then every element D of L can be written in the form $D=\alpha_1D_1+\alpha_2D_2$ for some $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{K}(x,y)$. Since $[D,D_1]=[D,D_2]=0$ we have that $D_1(\alpha_i)=0$, $D_2(\alpha_i)=0$, i=1,2. The latter means that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{K}$ and therefore $L=\mathbb{K}D_1+\mathbb{K}D_2$. The Lie algebra L is of type 2 of this Theorem.

Let now $\operatorname{rk}_{\mathbb{K}(x,y)}L=1$. Take any nonzero element $D\in L$. If $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}L=\infty$, then $L\subseteq C_{W_2}(D)$ and $C_{W_2}(D)=\mathbb{K}[p]h\delta_p$ by Theorem 1. Since $C_{W_2}(D)$ is abelian we see that $L=C_{W_2}(D)$ and L is of type 4. If $\dim_{\mathbb{K}}L<\infty$ then one can analogously show that L is of type 1 or 3.

References

- [1] Iena O. G., Petravchuk A. P., Regeta A. O. On annihilators of rational functions in the Lie algebra of derivations of k[x, y], submitted (see arXiv:math.RA:0910.4465).
- [2] Lie S. Theorie der Transformationsgruppen. Vol. 3, Leipzig, 1893.
- [3] Gonzlez-Lopez A., Kamran N., Olver P. J. Lie algebras of differential operators in two complex variavles // Amer. J. Math. 1992. 114. P. 1163–1185.
- [4] $Petravchuk\ A.\ P.$, $Iena\ O.\ G.$ On centralizers of elements in the Lie algebra of the special Cremona group sa(2,k) // Journal of Lie Theory. 2006. 16, no. 3. P. 561–567.
- [5] Petravchuk A. P., Iena O. G. On closed rational functions in several variables // Algebra and Discrete Mathematics. 2007. no. 2. P. 115–124.
- [6] Schinzel A. Polynomials with Special Regard to Reducibility. Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000.