On computations with double Schubert automaton and stable maps of multivariate cryptography

Vasyl Ustimenko[1]

Abstract. The families of bijective transformations G_n of affine space K^n over general commutative ring K of increasing order with the property of stability will be constructed. Stability means that maximal degree of elements of cyclic subgroup generated by the transformation of degree d is bounded by d. In the case $K = F_q$ these transformations of K^n can be of an exponential order. We introduce large groups formed by quadratic transformations and numerical encryption algorithm protected by secure protocol of Noncommutative Cryptography. The construction of transformations is presented in terms of walks on Double Schubert Graphs.

Keywords: Affine Cremona Group, Double Schubert Automaton, Multivariate Cryptography, Noncommutative Cryptography, Post Quantum Cryptography

1   Introduction

In 2017 the international tender of the National Institute of Standartisation Technology (NIST) of the USA for the selection of public key based on postquantum algorithms was announced. It has been considering algorithms for the encryption task and for the procedure of digital signature. The last third round of this competition started in summer time of 2020. Only one candidate from the multivariare cryptography area remains. This is a special case of ''Rainbow like unbalanced oil and vinegar'' digital scheme.The final list does not contain algorithms of Multivariate Cryptography for the encryption task. This outcome stimulates alternative research on numerical encryption asymmetrical postquantum algorithms of Multivariate cryptography such as algorithms which are not public keys and use the composition of several non-linear maps of bounded degree. Our paper is dedicated to new postquantum secure cryptosystem with the encryption process based on bijective quadratic maps of large order. Postquantum status of these encryption is justified by recent results of Noncommutative Cryptography.

In March 2021 it was announced that prestigious Abel prize will be shared by A. Wigderson an L. Lovasz. They contribute valuable applications of theory of Extremal graphs (see [20]) and Expanding graphs [21] to Theoretical

[1] Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Computer Science, Maria Curie-Skłodowska University, Pl. Maria Curie Skłodowska 1, 20-031 Lublin, Poland. vasyl@hektor.umcs.lublin.pl, https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2138-2357

Computer Science. We have been working on applications of these graphs to Cryptography (see [22], [23], [24], [25] and further references). This paper is dedicated to the problem of postquantum secure encryption of rather large files in terms of Multivariate Cryptography but with usage of ideas of Noncommutative Cryptography. We will use Double Schobert graphs which belong to class of geometrical expanders introduced in [26]. Remarkable symbiotic combination of absolutely secure one time pad with Diffie-Hellman protocol in terms of groups F_p^*, p is prime, can not be used in our postquantum times because classical discrete logarithm problem can be solved in polynomial time vith usage of quantum computer. The proof of this fact waspublished by Peter Shor in 1995. We present a possible substitutor of mentioned above symbiotic combination.

Classical encryption tools of Multivariate Cryptography are nonlinear polynomial maps F of affine space K^n over finite commutative ring K into itself. Traditionally a map F is presented in the form T_1GT_2, where T_1 and T_2 are representatives of affine general group $AGL_n(K)$ of all polynomial bijective transformations of K^n of degree 1 and central G is a nonlinear polynomial map. We refer to F as linear deformation of G. Popular computer tools for the generation of G are packages for symbolic computations ("Mathematica", "Maple", "Sage", "Magma" and special symbolic tools for professionals). Alternative approach to the construction of core maps G via *numerical* computations with sparse algebraic graphs was presented at some talks at CANA conference of FedSCIS [16], [17], [18]. The idea is to convert algebraic graphs into special automata for computations in polynomial ring $K[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ in terms of "arithmetical" operations of addition and multiplication in the ring. It allows to use standard C^{++} or Java languages for the construction of polynomial maps over finite fields, arithmetical and Boolean rings. It is interesting that automata was constructed from bipartite algebraic graphs defined by systems of equations $x_i - y_i = x_l y_k$, some properties of graphs (stability, degree, in particular) were obtained theoretically but other properties (orders, density) were investigated via computer simulation.

This paper is a theoretical one, we present theoretical results which demonstrate potential of the graph based approach. It turns out that the method allows to generate a stable nonlinear polynomial maps of chosen degree with a prescribed density and exponentially growing order. Results are obtained via explicit constructions of automaton maps based on bipartite graph $DS(n, K)$ over general commutative ring K such that point $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, x_{11}, x_{12}, ..., x_{nn})$ is incident to line $[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n, x_{11}, x_{12}, ..., x_{nn}]$ if and only if $x_{ij} - y_{ij} = x_i y_j$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, $j = 1, 2, ..., n$. Special walks on this graph of even length induce nonlinear map of affine space $K^{n(n+1)}$ to itself. The graph has geometrical nature, in case of $K = F_q$ it is induced subgraph of the incidence relation of finite projective geometry.

The approach was motivated by cryptographical applications. That is why explicit constructions lead to some new cryptosystems. In Section 2 we discuss the concepts of postquantum security and multivariate cryptography (MC), some references on usage of cryptographical properties of algebraic graphs are given. Next section is devoted to the concept of stable transformation connected with the investigation of discrete logarithm problem in the affine Cre-

mona group $C(K^n)$ of all bijective polynomial transformations of affine space K^n such that their inverses are also polynomial maps. This problem is motivated by related multivariate Diffie–Hellman key exchange protocol and corresponding El Gamal cryptosystem. In Section 4 we modify El Gamal algorithm, one can use high non commutativity of $C(K^n)$ and conjugate the inverse of the generator of large cyclic group. In the next section we state theorems on the existence of families of nonlinear stable multivariate maps over finite fields of exponentially growing order with prescribed degree and density. The existence of corresponding explicit construction is also formulated. The impact of such theorems is an option of implementation of multivariate key exchange protocols and related shifted El Gamal cryptosystems in case of family of cyclic subgroups of exponentially growing order in affine Cremona group over F_q. In Section 7 we discuss natural restriction on parameters for such algorithm. The Double Schubert graph and related automaton are introduced in Section 8. The sketch of proof of the main theorem on the existence of stable maps of exponentially growing order is given as a chain of lemmas.

The last section of the paper present new encryption algorithm of Post Quantum Cryptography. Encryption is descrribed in terms of Quadratic Multivariate Cryptography. The speed of encryption is standard for this area. Suggested cryptosystem is Post Quantum Secure. It is not a public key. So it differs from candidates investigated by well known NIST competition. Cryptosystem combines secure protocol with quadraticsable platform of polynomial transformations with flexible encryption procedure.

2   On Post Quantum and Multivariate Cryptography

Post Quantum Cryptography serves for the research of asymmetrical cryptographical algorithms which can be potentially resistant against attacks based on the use of quantum computer.

The security of currently popular algorithms is based on the complexity of the following three well known hard problems: integer factorisation, discrete logarithm problem, discrete logarithm for elliptic curves. Each of these problems can be solved in polynomial time by Peter Shor's algorithm for theoretical quantum computer. o cryptographers already started research on postquantum security. They also have to investigate the impact of the new results on general complexity theo complexity estimates of graph isomorphism problem obtain

We have to notice that Post Quantum Cryptography (PQC) differs from Quantum Cryptography, which is based on the idea of usage of quantum phenomena to reach better security.

Modern PQC is divided into several directions such as Multivariate Cryptography, Lattice based Cryptography, Hash based Cryptography, Code based Cryptography, studies of isogenies for superelliptic curves.

The oldest direction is Multivariate Cryptography which uses polynomial maps of affine space K^n defined over a finite commutative ring into itself as an encryption tool. It exploits the complexity of finding solution of a system of nonlinear equations from many variables.

This is still young promising research area with the current lack of known cryptosystems with the proven resistance against attacks with the use of ordi-

nary Turing machines. Studies of attacks based on Turing machine and Quantum computer have to be investigated separately because of different nature of two machines, deterministic and probabilistic respectively. Multivariate cryptography started from the studies of potential for the special quadratic encryption multivariate bijective map of K^n, where K is an extention of finite field F_q of characteristic 2. One of the first such cryptosystems was proposed by Imai and Matsumoto, cryptanalysis for this system was invented by J. Patarin. The survey on various modifications of this algorithm and corresponding cryptanalysis the reader can find in [1]. Various attempts to build secure multivariate public key were unsuccessful, but the research of the development of new candidates for secure multivariate public keys is going on (see for instance [2] and further references).

Applications of Algebraic Graph Theory to Multivariate Cryptography were recently observed in [3]. This survey is devoted to algorithms based on bijective maps of affine spaces into itself.

3   On stable multivariate transformations for the key exchange protocols

It is widely known that Diffie–Hellman key exchange protocol can be formally considered for the generator g of a finite group or semigroup G. Users need a large set $\{g^k | k = 1, 2, ...\}$ to make it practical. One can see that security of the method depends not only on abstract group or semigroup G but on the way of its representation. If G is a multiplicative group F_p^* of finite field F_p than we have a case of classical Diffie–Hellman algorithm. If we change F_p^* for isomorphic group Z_{p-1} then the security will be completely lost. We get a problem of solving linear equation instead of a discrete logarithm problem to measure the security level.

Let K be a commutative ring. $S(K^n)$ stands for the affine Cremona semigroup of all bijective polynomial transformations of affine space K^n.

Let us consider a multivariate Diffie–Hellman key exchange algorithm for the generator $g(n)$ semigroup G_n of affine Cremona semigroup. Correspondents (Alice and Bob) agree on the generator $g(n)$ of group of kind

$$x_1 \to f_1(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n),\ x_2 \to f_2(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n), ...,\ x_n \to f_n(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$$

acting on the affine space K^n, where $f_i \in K[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ are multivariate polynomials. Alice chooses a positive integer k_A as her private key and computes the transformation $g(n)^{k_A}$ (multiple iteration of $g(n)$ with itself).

Similarly Bob chooses k_B and gets $g(n)^{k_B}$. Correspondents complete the exchange: Alice sends $g(n)^{k_A}$ to Bob and receives $g(n)^{k_B}$ from him. Now Alice and Bob computes independently common key h as $(g(n)^{k_B})^{k_A}$ and $(g(n)^{k_A})^{k_B}$ respectively. So they can use coefficients of multivariate map $h = g(n)^{k_B k_A}$ from G_n written in the standard form.

There are obvious problems preventing the implementation of this general method in practice. In case $n = 1$ the degree $\deg(fg)$ of composition fg of elements $f, g \in S(K)$ is simply the product of $\deg(f)$ and $\deg(g)$. Such effect

can happen in multidimensional case: $(\deg(g))^x = \deg(g^x) = b$. It causes the reduction of discrete logarithm problem for multivariate polynomials to a number theoretical problem. If g is a bijection of degree d and order m then $d^x = b$ in cyclic group Z_m. Similar reduction can appear in the case of other degree functions $s(x) = \deg(g^x)$. If $s(x)$ is a linear function then multivariate discrete logarithm problem with base g is reducible to the solution of linear equation. The degenerate case $\deg(g^x) = \text{const}$ is an interesting one because in such situation the degree function does not help to investigate multivariate discrete logarithm.

We refer to the sequence of multivariate transformations $f(n) \in S(K^n)$ as stable maps of degree d if $\deg(f(n))$ is a constant $d, d > 2$ and $\deg(f(n)^k) \leq d$ for $k = 1, 2, ...$ (see [3]). If τ_n is a bijective affine transformation of K^n, i. e. a bijective transformation of degree 1, then the sequence of stable maps $f(n)$ can be changed for other sequence of stable maps $\tau f(n) \tau^{-1}$ of the same degree d.

The first families of special bijective transformations of K^n of bounded degree were generated by *discrete dynamical systems* defined in [4] in terms of graphs $D(n, K)$. In the paper [5] the fact that each transformation from these families of maps is cubic was proven. In [6] authors notice that this family is a stable one, the order of its members grows with the increase of parameter n and suggests key exchange protocols with generators from these families. Other results on the usage of algebraic graphs for construction of families of nonlinear multivariate maps of degree ≤ 3 the reader can find in [7], [8].

Recall that the other important property for the generator $g(n)$ in the described above protocol is a large cardinality of $\{g(n)^k | k = 1, 2, ...\}$. Let us assume that $g(n)$ is bijection.

The famous family of linear bijections of $F_q{}^n$ of exponential order is formed by Singer cycles $s(n)$, they have order $q^n - 1$ (see [11], [12] and further references). Statements on the existence of explicit construction of families of nonlinear maps of exponential order are formulated in the section 5 of this paper.

The above mentioned key exchange protocol can be used for the design of the following multivariate El Gamal cryptosystem (see [9], [10]).

Alice takes generator $g(n)$ of the group G_n together with its inverse $g(n)^{-1}$. She sends the transformation $g(n)^{-1}$ to Bob. He will work with the plainspace K^n as public user.

At the beginning of each session Alice chooses her private key k_A. She computes $f = g(n)^{k_A}$ and sends it to Bob.

Bob writes his text $(p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$, chooses his private key k_B and creates the ciphertext $f^{k_B}((p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)) = \text{c}$.

Additionally he computes the map $g(n)^{-1} k_B = h(n)$ and sends the pair $(c_1, c_2, ..., c_n), h(n)(\text{x})$ to Alice.

Alice computes $h(n)^{k_A}(\text{c}) = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$.

Remark 1. It is proven (see [9]) that the security level of above multivariate Diffie–Hellman and El Gamal algorithms is the same. It is based on the multivariate discrete logarithm problem on solving the equation $g^x = d$, where g and d are elements of special cyclic subgroup G_n of affine Cremona group.

4 On the shifted multivariate El Gamal cryptosystem

We suggest here the following modification of above described algorithm. Alice takes generator $g(n)$ of the group G_n together with its inverse $g(n)^{-1}$. At the beginning of each session Alice chooses her private key k_A and pair $(h(n), h(n)^{-1})$, where $h(n)$ is an element of affine Cremona group. She computes $f = g(n)^{k_A}$ and sends it to Bob together with transformation $m(n) = h(n)g(n)^{-1}h(n)^{-1}$. Public user Bob writes his text $(p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$, chooses his private key k_B and creates the ciphertext $f^{k_B}((p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)) = \mathrm{c}$.

Additionally he computes the map $m(n)^{k_B} = a(n)$ and sends the pair $(c_1, c_2, ..., c_n), a(n)(\mathrm{x})$ to Alice.

Alice computes $h(n)^{-1}a(n)^{k_A}h(n)(\mathrm{c}) = (p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$.

The shifted algorithm can have better protection against attacks by adversary. One can choose $h(n)$ to make the discrete logarithm problem in affine Cremona group with base $m(n)$ harder than one in a case of base $g(n)^{-1}$. Additionally the adversary has to compute the inverse of $f = g(n)^{k_A}$.

Alice can work with a stable map $g(n)$ of a large polynomial degree and a polynomial density of a large order such that its inverse conjugate with stable map $m(n)$ of prescribed small constant degree d.

Remark 2. It is clear, that the algorithm above can be formally considered for the general pair of bijective nonlinear polynomial transformations $g(n)$ and $h(n)$ of affine Cremona group of the free module K^n. But the best computational complexity will be achieved in the case of quadratic stable elements $g(n)$ and $m(n) = h(n)g(n)^{-1}h(n)^{-1}$. In the case of a family $m(n)$ of exponential order corresponding discrete logarithm problem looks as a hard one.

5 Results on existence of families with prescribed properties

Recall that the density of multivariate polynomial $f \in K[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ is its number of monomial terms. The density of a transformation F of K^n given by rules $x_1 \to f_1(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $x_2 \to f_2(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, ..., $x_n \to f_n(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ is defined as a maximum of densities of f_i, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$.

We refer to $F(n) : K^n \to K^n$ as a family of density d if a density of $F(n)$ is estimated by Cn^d, where C is a positive constant. If each transformation $F(n)$ of a density d has constant degree t, then $d \leq t$.

We refer to a family of bijective linear transformation $\tau(n)$ given by rule $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n) \to (x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)A$ of affine space K^n as sparse transformations if each row and column of matrix $A(n)$ contains only finite number of nonzero entries and this number is bounded by some positive constant. We refer to $G(n) = \tau(n)F(n)\tau(n)^{-1}$ as a sparse deformation of a family $F(n)$. if one of the families $F(n)$ and $G(n)$ has density d then the density of the other is also d.

Theorem 1. *For each pair (d, T), where $d \leq T$ there is a family $F(n)$ of stable transformations of K^n, $n = k(k+1)$ of degree T and density d of order bounded below by $\sqrt{n} - 1$.*

In the case of finite fields we get the following statement.

Theorem 2. *Let F_q be a finite field. For each pair (d, T), where $1/2 \le d \le T$ there is a family $F(n)$ of stable transformations of K^n of degree t, density d and order at least $q^{\sqrt{n-1}} - 1$.*

Sketches of proofs ot the theorems 1 and 2 are presented in the section 6. This technique is used also for the proof of the following statement.

Theorem 3. *Let F_q be a finite field. For each pair (d, T), where $1/2 \le d \le T$ there is a family $F(n)$ of stable transformations of $F_q{}^n$, $n = (k+1)^2 - 1$ of degree T, density d and order at least $q^{2k} - 1$.*

Each proposition is proven via explicit construction of $F(n)$.

6   Remarks on the size of numerical parameters and choice of generators

We propose a constructive method of generation of bijective families $F(n)$ of stable bijective multivariate maps of vector space F_q of dimension $n = k(k + 1)$ or $n = (k+1)^2 - 1$ of prescribed polynomial degree s, polynomial density $d \ge 1/2$ and exponential order $\ge q^k - 1$. It allows to generate $F(n)$ and its inverse in polynomial time.

We suggest the described above algorithms with the usage of such $F(n)$ defined over F_q and integer parameters k_A and k_B are of size $O(n^{d_A})$ and $O(n^{d_B})$. Such choice insures the polynomial time for the computation of $F(n)^{k_A}$, $F(n)^{k_B}$ and $F(n)^{k_A k_B}$ in the case of key exchange protocol. Notice that density of $F(n)^{K_A}$ can be higher than d, it is bounded from above by s. Alice sends the generator $F(n)$, $F(n)^{k_A}$ in a standard form to Bob together with parameter d_B which restricts his choice of the private key.

The precise computation of the order of $F(n)$ is a difficult task. In the case of El Gamal algorithm our scheme below allows Alice to compute $F(n)^{-1}$ without the knowledge of order of $F(n)$.

Shifted El Gamal cryptosystem requires additional transformation $h(n)$. Notice that the map $F(n)^{-1}$ is hidden.

The known function is $M(n) = h(n)F(n)h(n)^{-1}$. The adversary has to solve for k_B the discrete logarithm problem with the base $M(n)$ and given $M(n)^{k_B}$. Our method allows to generate both $F(n)$ and $M(n)$ as stable bijective transformations with prescribed degrees n_F and n_M and densities d_F and d_M.

7   Double Schubert graphs and automata for the generation of stable maps

We define Double Schubert Graph $DS(k, K)$ over commutative ring K as incidence structure defined as disjoint union of points from $PS = \{(\mathrm{x}) = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_k, x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, ...x_{k,k})| \in (\mathrm{x}) \in K^{(k+1)k}\}$ and ines from $LS = \{[\mathrm{y}] = [y_1, y_2, ..., y_k, y_{1,1}, y_{1,2}, ...y_{k,k}]| \in (\mathrm{y}) \in K^{(k+1)k}\}$ where (x) is incident to [y] if and only if $x_{i,j} - y_{i,j} = x_i y_j$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., k$, $j = 1, 2, ..., k$. It is convenient to assume that indices of kind i, j are placed in lexicographical order.

Remark 3. The term *Double Schubert Graphs* is chosen because points and lines of $DS(k, F_q)$ can be treated as subspaces of F_q^{2k+1} of dimensions $k+1$ and k which form two largest Schubert cells. Recall that the largest Schubert cell is the largest orbit of group of unitriangular matrices acting on the variety of subsets of given dimensions (see [12] and further references).

We define the colour of point (x) = $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k, x_{1,1}, x_{1,2}, ...x_{k,k})$ from PS as tuple $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k)$ and the colour of line

$$[y] = [y_1, y_2, ..., y_k, y_{1,1}, y_{1,2}, ...y_{k,k}]$$

as tuple $(y_1, y_2, ..., y_k)$. For each vertex v of $DS(k, K)$ there is a unique neighbour $N_\alpha(v)$ of given colour $\alpha = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_k)$, $a_i \in K$, $i = 1, 2, ..., k$.

The symbolic colour g from $K[z_1, z_2, ..., z_k]^k$ of kind

$$f_1(z_1, z_2, ..., z_k), \ f_2(z_1, z_2, ..., z_k), \ ..., \ f_k(z_1, z_2, ..., z_k),$$

where f_i are polynomials from $K[z_1, z_2, ..., z_k]$ defines the neighbouring line of point (x) with colour

$$f_1(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k), f_2(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k), ..., f_k(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k).$$

Let us consider a tuple of symbolic colours $(g_1, g_2, ..., g_{2t}) \in K[z_1, z_2, ..., z_k]^k$ and the map F of PS to itself which sends the point (x) to the end v_{2t} of the chain $v_0, v_1, ..., v_{2t}$, where (x) = v_0, $v_i I v_{i+1}$, $i = 0, 1, ..., 2t-1$ and $\rho(v_j) = g_j(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k)$, $j = 1, 2, ..., 2t$. We refer to F as closed point to point computation with the symbolic key $(g_1, g_2, ..., g_{2t})$. As it follows from definitions $F = F_{g_1, g_2, ..., g_{2t}}$ is a multivariate map of $K^{k(k+1)}$ to itself. When symbolic key is given F can be computed in a standard form via elementary operations of addition and multiplication of the ring $K[x_1, x_2, ..., x_k, x_{11}, x_{12}, ..., x_{kk}]$. Recall that $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k, x_{11}, x_{12}, ..., x_{kk})$ is our plaintext treated as symbolic point of the graph. Let $Sk(k, K)$ be the totality of all symbolic keys. We define product $(g_1, g_2, ..., g_{2t})(h_1, h_2, ..., h_{2s})$ of symbolic keys $(g_1, g_2, ..., g_{2t})$ and $(h_1, h_2, ..., h_{2s})$ as $(g_1, g_2, ..., g_{2t}, h_1(g_{2t}), h_2(g_{2t}), ..., h_{2s}((g_{2t}))$. This product converts $Sk(k, K)$ to a semigroup. It is easy to check that the map $^k\eta$ sending $(g_1, g_2, ..., g_{2t})$ to $F_{g_1, g_2, ..., g_{2t}}$ is the homomorphism of $Sk(k, K)$ onto $C(K^n)$ where $n = k(k+1)$. We refer to $^k\eta$ as *linguistic retraction morphism*.

We write fg to the composition $g(f(x))$. If $(g_1, g_2, ..., g_k)$ are elements of affine Cremona group $C(K^k)$ then $F_{g_1, g_2, ..., g_{2t}} = F_{g_1} F_{g_1^{-1}g_2} F_{g_2^{-1}g_3} ... F_{g_{2t-1}^{-1}g_{2t}}$.

We refer for expression $F_{g_1, g_2, ..., g_{2t}}$ as automaton presentation of F with the symbolic key $g_1, g_2, ..., g_{2t}$. Notice that if g_{2t} is an element of affine Cremona group $C(K^k)$ then $F_{g_1, g_2, ..., g_{2t}} \in C(K^{k(k+1)})$ and automaton presentation of its inverse is $F_{g_{2t}^{-1}g_{2t-1}, g_{2t}^{-1}g_{2t-2}, ..., g_{2t}^{-1}g_1, g_{2t}^{-1}}$.

The restrictions on degrees and densities of multivariate maps g_i of K^k to K^k and size of parameter t allow to define a polynomial map F of polynomial degree and density.

Let us assume that $g_i = (h_1^i, h_2^i, ..., h_k^i)$, $i = 1, 2, ..., 2t$ is the symbolic key of the closed point to point computation $F = F(k)$ of the symbolic automaton $DS(k, K)$. We set that $g_0 = (h_1^0, h_2^0, ..., h_k^0) = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_k)$. We set

that $h_1{}^0, h_2{}^0, ..., h_k{}^0) = (z_1, z_2, ..., z_k)$. Then F is a transformation of kind

$$z_1 \rightarrow h_1{}^{2t}(z_1, z_2, ..., z_k),\ z_2 \rightarrow h_2{}^{2t}(z_1, z_2, ..., z_k),\ ...,\ z_k \rightarrow h_k{}^{2t}(z_1, z_2, ..., z_k)$$
$$z_{11} \rightarrow z_{11} - h_1{}^1 z_1 + h_1{}^1 h_1{}^2 - h_1{}^3 h_1{}^2 + h_1{}^3 h_1{}^4 + ... + h_1{}^{2t-1} h_1{}^{2t}$$
$$z_{12} \rightarrow z_{12} - h_1{}^1 z_2 + h_1{}^1 h_2{}^2 - h_1{}^3 h_2{}^2 + h_1{}^3 h_1{}^4 + ... + h_2{}^{2t-1} h_1{}^{2t}$$

...

$$z_{kk} \rightarrow z_{kk} - h_k{}^1 z_k + h_k{}^1 h_k{}^2 - h_k{}^3 h_k{}^2 + h_k{}^3 h_k{}^4 + ... + h_k{}^{2t-1} h_k{}^{2t}$$

Lemma 1. The degree of F is bounded by a maximum M of $\gamma_{r,s,i}(n) = \deg(h_r{}^i) + \deg(h_s{}^{i+1})$, $0 \leq i \leq 2t$, $1 \leq r \leq k$, $1 \leq s \leq k$. The density of F is at most a maximum of $d(r,s)$, where $d(r,s) - 1$ is the sum of parameters $\text{den}(h_r{}^i) \times \text{den}(h_s{}^{i+1})$ for $i = 0, 1, ..., 2t$.

We say that closed point to point computation F is balanced if its degree coincides with parameter M of the previous lemma.

Lemma 2. If the map $g_{2t} : K^k \rightarrow K^k$ is a bijection then the presentation defines one to one transformation of $PS = K^{k(k+1)}$ to itself. The order of F is bounded below by the order of g_{2t}.

Lemma 3. If the map $g_{2t} : K^k \rightarrow K^k$ is an affine bijective transformation $(\deg(g_{2t}) = 1)$ and the computation is balanced then the map F is stable one two one transformation of $PS = K^{k(k+1)}$ to itself.

Proof of Theorems 1 and 2. Theorem 1 and 2 can be deduced from Lemmas 1, 2, and 3. We assume that parameter t is a constant and $n = (k+1)k$. Let us choose F as $F_{g_1,g_2,...,g_{2t}}$ such that $(g_{2t}) \in AFL_n(K))$ and parameter M of Lemma 1 equals T. Other maps g_i, $1 \leq i \leq 2k - 1$ can be chosen to keep the density of balanced F in the interval $C_1 n^d$ and $C_2 n^d$ where C_1 and C_2 are constants, $C_1 \leq C_2$. In the case of Theorem 1 we can choose g_{2t} as linear permutation map corresponding to cycle of length k. This parameter k gives the lower bound for the order of bijective map F. In the case of theorem 2 we can take Singer cycle in $F_q{}^k$ as g_{2t}. So $|F| \geq g^k - 1$. □

Proof of Theorem 3. Let us consider the edge of the graph $DS(2k, F_q)$. It contains a point $(p) = (x_1, x_2, ..., x_k, x_{11}, x_{12}, ..., x_{kk})$ and incident line $[l]$ of colour $(y_1, y_2, ..., y_k)$. We consider a chain of kind (p), $[l]$, (p_1), $[l_1]$, (p_2), $[l_2]$, ..., (p_s), $[l_s]$ of odd length $2s + 1$ such that $\rho(p_i) = g_i \in F_q[x_1, x_2, ..., x_k, y_1, y_2, ..., y_k]^k$, $\rho(l_i) = h_i \in F_q[x_1, x_2, ..., x_k, y_1, y_2, ..., y_k]^k$, $i = 1, 2, ..., s$.

If pair $(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k) \rightarrow g_{2s}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k, y_1, y_2, ..., y_k)$, $(y_1, y_2, ..., y_k) \rightarrow h_{2s}(x_1, x_2, ..., x_k, y_1, y_2, ..., y_k)$ defines bijective map Δ of $F_q{}^{2k}$, then the map F sending edge p, l to the edge p_{2s}, l_{2s} is bijective transformation of edge set $E(2k, q)$ of $DS(2k, F_q)$. Notice, that $E(2k, q)$ is isomorphic to $F_q{}^{k(k+1)+k} = F_q{}^{(k+1)^2 - 1}$. If we chose Δ as Singer transformation of the vector space $F_q{}^{2k}$, then the order of F will be bounded below by $q^{((k+1)^2 - 1) - 1}$. Similarly to lemma 1 the degree and density of F are maximum of parameters $\deg(g_i) + \deg(h_i)$, $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., s$, $\deg(h_i) + \deg(g_{i+1})$, $i = 0, 1, 2, ..., s$ $(\deg(g_0) = \deg(h_0) = 1)$. So appropriate choice of the symbolic key insure that degree of transformation F is T and density d. □

8   Double Schubert automaton as a stable groups generator

We refer to a subgroup G in $S(K^n)$ as a stable subgroup of degree d if the maximal degree for its representative g equals d.

Let $AGS_n(K)$ be the semigroup of affine transformations of K^n, i.e. the group of all transformations of degree 1.

It is easy to see that symbolic keys of kind $(g_1, g_2, ..., g_r)$ of even length from the semigroup $Sk(k, K)$ with $g_i \in AGS_k(K)$, $i = 1, 2, ..., r - 1$ and $g_r \in AGL_k(K)$ form a subgroup. We denote it as $Lk(k, K)$. The degree of the transformation $F_{g_1,g_2,...,g_r}$ for $< g_1, g_2, ..., g_r >$ from $Lk(k, K)$ is bounded by 2. Let us consider the group $E_k(K) =^k \eta(Lk(k, K))$. As it follows from lemma 1 group $E_k(K)$ is stable subgroup of degree 2 in $C(K^{n(n+1)})$. The family of groups $E_k(K)$ can be used for the following cryptosystem which can process rather large file. It consists on following protocol, step of exchange of encryption rules and encryption process.

Protocol.   Correspondents use family of group $E_k(F_q)$ for chosen papameters k and q. Alice computes $n = k(k + 1)$ and selects affine transformation T from $AGL_n(F_q)$. She computes T^{-1}. Alice selects positive integers t and r together with two strings a = $(g_1, g_2, ..., g_k)$ and b = $h_1, h_2, ..., h_r$ of elements g_i and h_j from $AGS_k(F_q)$ such that g_t and h_r are Singer cycles from $GL_k(F_q)$, i.e. elements of order $q^k - 1$.

She uses the homomotphism $\eta =^k \eta$ from the semigroup $Sk(k, K)$ onto affine Cremona group $C(K^n)$ and computes $\eta(a)$ and $\eta(b)$. Alice forms elements $G = T\eta(a)T^{-1}$ and $H = T\eta(a)T^{-1}$ of orders at most $q^k - 1$. In fact high orders of these elements are insured by the choice of linear transformations g_t and h_r.

Alice sends to Bob the transformations G and H presented in their standard forms $x_i \to^i g(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $x_i \to^i h(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$ where monomial terms of polynomials $^i g$ and $^j h$ are listed in the lexicographical order.

Secondly Alice selects positive constant integers $k_A < q^k - 1$ and $r_A < q^k - 1$. She computes standard form $G_A = H^{r_A} G^{k_A} H^{-r_A}$ and sends it to Bob.

In his turn Bob selects parameters $k_B < q^k - 1$ and $r_A < q^k - 1$. He computes standard form of $Z_B = H^{r_B} G_A{}^{k_B} H^{-r_B}$ and keeps it safely.

Secondly Bob form $G_B = H^{r_B} G^{k_B} H^{-r_B}$ and sends its standard form to Alice. She computes $Z_A = H^{r_A} G_B{}^{k_A} H^{-r_A}$.

Noteworthy that $Z = Z_A = Z_B$ is a collision element of the protocol.

In fact Alice and Bob share an element Z from the stable group $Y(k, F_q) = T^k \eta(Sk(k, F_q))T^{-1}$ of degree 2.

Step 2. Encryption tools exchange.   Alice takes different from T affine transformation T' such that $TT' \neq T'T$.

She forms $G' = T\eta(a')T'^{-1}$ and $H' = T\eta(b')T'^{-1}$ of orders at least $q^k - 1$. Correspondents again execute the protocol and elaborate another collision map Z'.

Secondly Alice (or Bob) selects extra two elements T_1 and T_2 from $AGL_n(F_q)$ such that elements of each pair selected from $\{T, T', T_1, T_2\}$ does not commute. She takes two strings b and c from $Sk(k, F_q)$ of length $O(1)$, computes

$P = T_1\eta(b)T_1{}^{-1}$ and $Q = T_2\eta(b)T_2{}^{-1}$ together with their inverses. Finally Alice sends $Z + P$ and $Z' + Q$ to Bob via open channel. He restores P and Q.

Asymmetric encryption procedure.   Before the start of exchange session Alicia and Bob agree on the tuple of integers $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_s)$ of length $s = O(1)$ (*password of the session*).

Bob takes his plaintext p $= (p_1, p_2, ..., p_n)$ and applies transformation P to it α_1 times and gets $P^{\alpha_1}($p $=^1$ p$)$. In similar way he constructs $Q^{\alpha_2}(^1$p$) =^2$ p. Bob gets ^{3}p via the multiple application of P to ^{2}p. Let us assume for simplicity that s is even. Then continuation of the process of recurrent applications of P and Q forms the output $P^{\alpha_1}Q^{\alpha_2}...P^{\alpha_s}(p) =$ y. So Bob sends the ciphertext y to his partner.

Alice uses natural decryption procedure. She takes reverse word $(\alpha_s, \alpha_{s-1}, ..., \alpha_1)$. She applies P^{-1} to the ciphertext y with multiplicity α_s and gets ^{1}y, applies Q^{-1} to ^{1}y, ... Continuation of this process gives her the plaintext p.

Complexity estimates.   Straightforward computation of the number of elementary operations shows that Alice can construct multivariate map G (as well as H, G', H' in $O(k^7) = O(n^{3.5})$. This bound can be used for time evaluation of Step 2.

The execution time of presented above key agreement protocol is determined by the hardest operation to compute the composition of two quadratic maps of dimension $n = k(k + 1)$ given in their standard forms. This operation requires $O(n^5)$.

It is easy to see that encryption of single message costs standard for Multivariate Cryptography time $O(n^3)$

Elements of cryptanalisis.   In the case of agstract finite group X twisted key agreement protocol with input elements $G \in X$, $H \in X$ and output $Z \in X$ is known instrument of **noncommutative cryptography** (see [27–38]). It based on complexity of Power Conjugacy Problem. Adversary can intercept $G_A = H^{r_A}G^{k_A}H^{-r_A}$ (or $G_B = H^{r_B}G^{k_B}H^{-r_B}$). To break the protocol he / she hast find the presentation of G_A in the form of word of kind $H^xG^yG^{-x}$

Currently algorithm with the joint usage of Turing machine and Quantum computer for breaking this problem in the case of affine Cremona group $X = C(K^n)$ are unknown. So adversary has no chances to break the protocol. During single session of exchanges with the string $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, ..., \alpha_s)$ adversary can estimate the degree of encryption map $U = P^{\alpha_1}Q^{\alpha_2}...P^{\alpha_s}$ as $D = 2^d$, $d = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + ... + \alpha_s$. He/she can execute interception of n^D pairs plaintext-ciphertext and try to approximate U via costly linearisation attack. (the cost is at least $\geq O(n^{2D+1})$. To prevent this option correspondents can agree on the maximal number $M = cn^{D-1}$ of messages during the session. They can start new session with other selected string without repetition of the protocol.

Noteworthy that increase of s to $O(\log_2(k))$ makes linearisation attacks unfeasible. So correspondents can work with unlimited session for which encryption costs $O(n^3 \log_2(n^{1/2}))$.

Remark 4. Alice can increase the number of protocols sessions from 2 to chosen l, $l \geq 2$. It gives her opportunity of safe delivery of noncomputing transformations G_1, G_2, ..., G_l and use this larger set of generators in the described above encryption algorithm.

Remark 5. Alice can take $l = 4$ and $G_3 = G_1{}^{-1}$ and $G_4 = G_2{}^{-1}$. In this case both sides have option to decrypt. So we have symmetric encryption algorithm.

9   Conclusion

Algebraic system on $K[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$, where K is a commutative ring with operations of addition, multiplication and composition is the core part of Computer Algebra. Let $\deg(f)$ be the degree of polynomial $f \in K[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$, then $\deg(f) + \deg(g) = \max(\deg(f), \deg(g))$. The general formula for $\deg(f(g))$ does not exist, only inequality $\deg(f(g)) \leq \deg(f)\deg(g)$ holds. The addition and multiplication of n polynomials from $K[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ of bounded degree can be computed in polynomial time but there is no polynomial algorithm for the execution of the computation of n elements from $K[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$. It means that in Cremona semigroup $S(K^n)$ of all endomorphisms of $K[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ the computation of the product of n representatives is unfeasible task. Noteworthy that each endomorphism $F \in S(K^n)$ is defined by its values f_i on x_i and can be identified with the rule $x_i \rightarrow f_i(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$, $i = 1, 2, ..., n$, where f_i is given via the list of its monomial terms written in the lexicographical order. Noteworthy that the semigroup $S(K^n)$ and its subgroup $C(K^n)$ of all automorphisms of $K[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ are core objects of Multivariate Cryptography (MC). Classical Multivariate Cryptography considers only compositions of kind T_1FT_2 of single nonlinear element F of small degree (2 or 3) with linear bijective endomorphisms T_1 and T_2 of degree 1 because of the heavy complexity for the computation of compositions.

Discovery of large stable subsemigroups X of $S(K^n)$ of degree bounded by constant degree d gives new option. One can compute the composition of n representatives of X in polynomial time. So Diffie-Hellman protocol or its modifications with generator from X are possible. Security of them requires further investigations. The cases $d = 2, 3$ has computational advantage because the composition of two nonlinear map can be computed in time $O(n^5)$ and $O(n^{13})$. The existence of implemented models of quantum computers even with restricted number of cubits stimulates studies of analogs of Diffie -Hellman protocol with at least two generators $g_1, g_2, ..., g_s$ and noncommutative semigroup $X = < g_1, g_2, ..., g_s >$. Current paper contains description of such protocol in the case of stable subgroups X f degree 2 in $S(K^n)$. The security of this algorithms rests on hard Power Conjugacy Problem. In the case $K = F_q$ one can select generators of exponential order. For the construction of Postquantum Secure Cryptosystem we combine this protocol with asymmetrical encryption algorithm, which allows execution of encryption for Bob and decryption for Alice in times $O(n^3)$ and $O(n^2)$ respectively. We consider the way to convert encryption procedure into symmetrical algorithm in previous section.

Other cryptosystems with the same platform ot its expansion are presented in [39], [40]. They use Word Decomposition Problem instead Power Conjugacy Problem. The implementations of such protocol of Noncommutative Cryptography for stable subgroups X of degree 3 is described in [24].

References

[1] Ding, J., Gower, J. E., Schmidt, D. S. 2006. Multivariate Public Key Cryptosystems. Springer, Advances in Information Security, V. 25.

[2] Porras, J. Baena, J. Ding, J. 2015. New Candidates for Multivariate Trapdoor Functions, Revista Colombiana de Matematicas 49(1), 57–76.

[3] Ustimenko, V. A. 2015. Explicit constructions of extremal graphs and new multivariate cryptosystems, Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica, Special issue "Proceedings of The Central European Conference, 2014, Budapest", 52(2), 185–204.

[4] Ustimenko, V. 2007. Linguistic Dynamical Systems, Graphs of Large Girth and Cryptography, Journal of Mathematical Sciences, Springer, 140(3), 412–434.

[5] Wróblewska, A. 2008. On some properties of graph based public keys. Albanian Journal of Mathematics, 2(3), 229–234.

[6] Ustimenko, V. Wróblewska, A. 2011. On the key exchange with nonlinear polynomial maps of stable degree, Annalles UMCS Informatica AI XI, 2, 81–93.

[7] Ustimenko, V., Romanczuk, U. 2013. On Dynamical Systems of Large Girth or Cycle Indicator and their applications to Multivariate Cryptography, in "Artificial Intelligence, Evolutionary Computing and Metaheuristics", In the footsteps of Alan Turing Series: Studies in Computational Intelligence, 427, 257–285.

[8] Ustimenko, V., Wróblewska, A. 2012. Dynamical systems as the main instrument for the constructions of new quadratic families and their usage in cryptography. Annales UMCS Informatica AI, 12(3), 65–74.

[9] Klisowski, M. 2014. Zwiększenie bezpieczeństwa kryptograficznych algorytmów wielu zmiennych bazujących na algebraicznej teorii grafów, PhD thesis, Częstochowa.

[10] Klisowski, M., Ustimenko, V. 2015. Graph based cubical multivariate maps and their cryptographical applications, in "Advances on Superelliptic curves and their Applications", IOS Press, NATO Science for Peace and Security series – D: Information and Communication Security, 41, 305–327.

[11] Babai, L. 2015. Graph Isomorphism in Quasipolinomial Time, arXiv: 1512 03547v1.

[12] Ustimenko, V. 2015. On Schubert cells in Grassmanians and new algorithms of multivariate cryptography, Proceedings of the Institite of Mathematics, Minsk, 23(2):137–148 (Proceedings of international conference "Discrete Mathematics, algebra and their applications", Minsk, Belarus, September 14-18, 2015, dedicated to the 100th anniversary of Dmitrii Alexeevich Suprunenko).

[13] Cossidente, A., Ressmine, M. J. de. 2004. Remarks on Singer Cycle Groups and Their Normalizers, Designs, Codes and Cryptography, 32, 97–102.

[14] Kantor, W. 1982. Linear groups containing a Singer cycle, J. of Algebra 62, 232–234.

[15] Ustimenko, V. A. 2O17. On the Families of Stable Multivariate Transformations of Large Order and Their Cryptographical Applications, Tatra Mountains Mathematical Publications, 70(1), 107–117.

[16] Ustimenko, V. A. 2015. On algebraic graph theory and non–bijective maps in cryptography, Algebra and Discrete Mathematics, 20(1), 152–170.

[17] Ustimenko, V. A. 2008. On the hidden discrete logarithm for some polynomial stream ciphers, International Multiconference on Computer Science and Informational Technology, 20-22 October, Wisla, Poland, CANA Proceedings.

[18] Klisowski, M. Ustimenko, V. 2010. On the public keys based on the extremal graphs and digraphs, Internatio V.nal Multiconference on Computer Science and Informational Technology, October, Wisla, Poland, CANA Proceedings, 12 pp.

[19] Kotorowicz, J. Romanczuk, U. Ustimenko, V. 2011. Implementation of stream ciphers based on a new family of algebraic graphs, Proceedings of Federated Conference on Computer Science and Information Systems (FedCSIS), 13.

[20] Grzesik, L A. Král', D. Lovász L. M. 2018. Elusive extremal graphs, preprint, arXiv:1807.01141.

[21] Hoory, N, Linial, A., Wigderson, A. 2006. Expander graphs and their applications, Bull. Amer. Math Soc., 43, 439–561.

[22] Polak, M., Romanczuk, U., Ustimenko, V., Wróblewska, A. On the applications of Extremal Graph Theory to Coding Theory and Cryptography, Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathe-matics, 43, 329–342.

[23] Ustimenko, V., Romanczuk-Polubiec, U., Wroblewska, A., Polak, M., Zhupa, E. 2019. On the constructions of new symmetric ciphers based on non-bijective multivariate maps of prescribed degree, Security and Communication Networks, Volume, Article ID 2137561, 15 pages https://doi.org/10.1155/2019/2137561

[24] Ustimenko, V., Klisowski, M. 2019. On Noncommutative Cryptography with cubical multivariate maps of predictable density, Proceedings of "Computing 2019" conference, London, 16-17, July, Volume 2, Part of Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing (AISC), 99, 654–674.

[25] Ustimenko, V., Romanczuk-Polubiec U., Wroblewska, A. 2019. Expanding Graph of the Extremal Graph Theory and expanded platforms of post-quantum cryptography, Annals of Computer Science and information Systems, 19, 41–46.

[26] Alon, N. 1986. Eigenvalues, geometric expanders, sorting in rounds, and Ramsey Theory, Combinatorica, 6(3), 207-219.

[27] Moldovyan, D. N., Moldovyan, N. A. 2010. A New Hard Problem over Non-commutative Finite Groups for Cryptographic Protocols, International Conference on Mathematical Methods, Models, and Architectures for Computer Network Security, MMM-ACNS: Computer Network Security, 183–194.

[28] Shpilrain, V., Ushakov, A. 2006. The conjugacy search problem in public key cryptography: un-necessary and insufficient, Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing, August, 17(3-4), 285–289.

[29] Kahrobaei, Delaram, Khan, Bilal. 2006. A non-commutative generalization of ElGamal key exchange using polycyclic groups, In IEEE GLOBE-COM 2006. Global Telecommu-nications Conference [4150920] https://doi.org/10.1109/GLOCOM.2006

[30] Myasnikov, Alexei, Shpilrain, Vladimir, Ushakov, Alexander. 2008. Group-based Cryptography. Berlin: Birkhäuser Verlag.

[31] Zhenfu Cao. 2012. New Directions of Modern Cryptography. Boca Raton: CRC Press, Taylor & Francis Group. ISBN 978-1-4665-0140-9.

[32] Maze, G., Monico, C., Rosenthal, J. 2007. Public key cryptography based on semigroup actions. Adv.Math. Commun. 1(4), 489–507.

[33] Kropholler, P.H., Pride, S.J., Othman, W.A.M., Wong, K.B., Wong, P.C. 2010. Properties of cer-tain semigroups and their potential as platforms for cryptosystems, Semigroup Forum. 81, 172–186.

[34] Kumar, Gautam and Saini, Hemraj. 2017 Novel Noncommutative Cryptography Scheme Using Extra Special Group, Security and Communication Networks, Volume, Article ID 9036382, 21 pages, https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/9036382

[35] Roman'kov, V. A. 2016. A nonlinear decomposition attack, Groups Complex. Cryptol. 8(2), 197–207.

[36] Roman'kov, V. 2019. An improved version of the AAG cryptographic protocol, Groups, Complex., Cryptol, 11(1), 35–42.

[37] Ben-Zvi, A., Kalka, A. and Tsaban, B. 2018. Cryptanalysis via algebraic span, In: Shacham H. and Boldyreva A. (eds.) Advances in Cryptology – CRYPTO 2018 – 38th Annual International Cryptology Conference, Santa Barbara, CA, USA, August 19-23, 2018, Proceedings, Part I, 10991, 255–274, Springer, Cham.

[38] Tsaban, B. 2015. Polynomial-time solutions of computational problems in noncommutative-algebraic cryptography, J. Cryptol., 28(3), 601–622.

[39] Ustimenko, V. 2019. On desynchronised multivariate algorithms of El Gamal type for stable semigroups of affine Cremona group, Theoretical and Applied Cybersecurity, National Technical University of Ukraine "Igor Sikorsky Kiev Polytechnic Institute", 1, 22–30.

[40] Ustimenko, V. 2020. On the usage of postquantum protocols defined in terms of transformation semi-groups and their homomorphisma, Theoretical and Applied Cybersecurity, National Technical University of Ukraine "Igor Sikorsky Kiev Polytechnic Institute", 2, 32–44.